



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,394	09/08/2003	William J. Mertz	1248 P 122	9357

7590 05/14/2009
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY LLP
227 WEST MONROE STREET
CHICAGO, IL 60606-5096

EXAMINER

MOORE, MARGARET G

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1796

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

05/14/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/657,394	MERTZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Margaret G. Moore	1796	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Margaret G. Moore. (3) Dan Thompson.

(2) Dan Christus. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 May 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: Eckberg '480, Eckberg '453.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants elaborated on the comparative product UV 9400, particularly with regard to the volatile content therein, and how it compares to the volatile content and product in the Eckberg patents. Applicants also provided an explanation of the technology and issues that necessitated the development of the instant invention. Applicants also indicated that amendments will be made to the breadth of claims 12 and 15.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Margaret G. Moore/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796	
--	--